Passed

Jan. 14, 1818.

thorised to sell so much of the furniture in the Government House as he may deem useless, and to invest the proceeds thereof in such furniture as he may think proapplication of said sum of money are loss to dollaring And be it faither wesdeed, a but the Covernor be resent of

d

tl d

lonal buildings to the Martinad blospital, suitable for the accommodation of the invane persons now in the Battinger aims house and indie Mary land positionary,

quested to have full estimates made of the cost of addi-

Resolution for the delivery of certain Arms, for the use of a Military Academy in Talbot county. Passed Mar. 10, 1848.

> Resolved by the General Assembly of Maryland, That the Governor be and he is hereby authorised to issue from time to time to General Tench Tilghman, for the use of the Maryland Military Academy, recently established at Oxford, in Talbot county, such arms and accontrements belonging to the State, as may in the opinion of the Governor be sufficient for the purposes of said institution, to be returned at any time upon the requisition of the Governor, abubana

"Afaryland, That the hanks of the General Assumbly of

Resolved unanimously low that General Assembly of

Marthind tre due an 3e. or reby presented to William H. Emory, a native curzen of Maryland, 1st Lieute-Preamble and resolutions relative to certain dividends Feb 29, 1848. due the State by the Baltimore and Ohio Rail Road ni a Company and michatididae anihoo boo bite a magil

WHEREAS, By Resolution No. 65, of December session 1846, the Attorney General was directed to institute suit against the Baltimore and Ohio Rail Road Company, to recover the dividend of fifteen thousand dollars which had been declared by that company, payable in part in the bonds of said company; and whereas, it is understood that said suit was brought as directed by said Resolution, and that the same has been fully argued in the Court of Appeals at the present term, and inasmuch as the State has incurred the whole expense incident to the decision of this question, it is therefore,

Resolved, That it would be unjust to the State to take the bonds of this company for the dividend declared; provided the State should be entitled to receive said dividends in money. And whereas, in the view that